Finding 2
Service Member Career Progression

Active-duty service member respondents of color report challenges to their career progression and perceive racially/ethnically-based discrimination in evaluation and promotion.

The military has sought to address racial and ethnic inequities within the force over the past 60 years. Despite some progress (see Historical Context), this needs assessment reveals that upward mobility remains a challenge for service members of color and female service members. Challenges present themselves in several ways: differences in racial/ethnic representation exist among service branches and in enlisted versus officer ranks (Figures 1 and 2); active-duty service member survey respondents report their racial/ethnic background negatively impacts their career and impedes their professional experience; and focus group participants describe the impacts of perceived ethnic/racial discrimination on their own career and those of their peers. These real and perceived inequities in assignments, opportunities, and evaluations collectively work to undermine military efforts to recruit, retain, and promote service members of color, particularly within the officer corps.

While the racial/ethnic composition of the United States military as a whole is more diverse than the population of the United States, differences exist by race/ethnicity, service branch, and rank.

Although most racial/ethnic minority groups are underrepresented in the armed forces, active-duty Black service members are overrepresented (17%) — a trend dating back to the Korean and Vietnam Wars — as are Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islanders. Racial/ethnic diversity varies amongst service branches. Black service members are overrepresented in the Army, Navy, and Air Force; however, they are underrepresented in the Marine Corps and Coast Guard. Hispanic service members are overrepresented in the Marine Corps. Additionally, racial/ethnic minorities are underrepresented in the Coast Guard.

*Unless otherwise noted, respondents indicated having at least one racial/ethnic identity other than white.
The appearance of U.S. Department of Defense (DoD) visual information does not imply or constitute DoD endorsement.
Government data reveals the enlisted corps is more racially diverse than the officer corps in every service branch, and it maintains more diversity at each pay grade. In contrast, diversity in the officer corps is low and diminishes as rank increases. Black and Hispanic service members are overrepresented in the enlisted corps and underrepresented in the officer corps (Figures 1 & 2), with Hispanic service member retention decreasing with rank in both the officer and enlisted corps. These population representation statistics are foundational to interpreting other findings discussed throughout the report and suggest there is room for improvement in recruiting and retaining racially and ethnically diverse officers, and retaining Hispanic enlisted personnel.

**Figure 1: Enlisted Racial/Ethnic Diversity by Service Branch**

% of enlisted service members of color (2019)

*Source: 2019 Population Representation in the Military Services report*
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**Figure 2: Officer Racial/Ethnic Diversity by Service Branch**

% of officers of color (2019)

*Source: 2019 Population Representation in the Military Services report*
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*Unless otherwise noted, respondents indicated having at least one racial/ethnic identity other than white.*
Active-duty service members of color, particularly Black service members, perceive their race or ethnicity impacts their ability to advance at work.

Among respondents to the 2020 Military Family Lifestyle Survey, 26% of active-duty service member respondents of color reported experiencing racial/ethnic discrimination in their unit or command compared to 4% of their white, non-Hispanic colleagues; 21% reported experiencing it in promotion or career advancement opportunities compared to 6% of their white, non-Hispanic colleagues. This is consistent with findings from this survey: 39% of active-duty service member respondents report their race/ethnicity “significantly” or “slightly” hurt their ability to get ahead at work. Among the racial/ethnic groups analyzed, a notably higher proportion of Black active-duty service member respondents (48%) indicate this to be the case. More than twice as many Hispanic active-duty service member respondents (11%) report not knowing whether or not their race/ethnicity had influenced their ability to get ahead at work, compared to other racial/ethnic groups. As discussed in Finding 2, this uncertainty can cause additional stress.

While the majority of service member respondents report they “often” received fair evaluations, fair day-to-day task assignments, and routine acknowledgment for good work, some report that they “never” or only “sometimes” believed this to be the case (Figure 3). More than one in 10 active-duty service member respondents report that, considering their current immediate supervisor (or whomever administers each of the following), they “never” obtain preferred assignments (13%). Nearly the same proportion say the same of their ability to self-advocate for strong evaluations, assignments, etc. (10%). This dynamic is often described by focus group participants and in open-ended responses as feeling they do not have equal access to a network granting them the same opportunities that others receive without exerting extra effort, compared to their white, non-Hispanic (and often male) colleagues.

Figure 3: Frequency of Career-Enhancing Opportunities Under Current Supervisor
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</tbody>
</table>

*Unless otherwise noted, respondents indicated having at least one racial/ethnic identity other than white.
Retaining service members of color is paramount to diversifying military leadership, especially within the officer corps (Figure 4). This study did not reveal perceptions of blatant racial/ethnic discrimination in promotion. However, the literature review revealed one study that found among Army officers, “a correlation exists between racial bias and negative impacts on Black U.S. Army officers' performance evaluations, promotions, and their careers.” While promotion is only one component of retaining racially/ethnically-diverse service members, addressing promotion disparities has been the focus of recent reform efforts within the Department of Defense. The long-term efficacy of practices such as removing photos from promotion board packets designed to promote diversity remains unclear, and efforts to improve retention for service members of color continue.

**Figure 4: Retention is stronger among enlisted than the officer corps**

Source: 2019 Population Representation in the Military Services report

| % of DOD officer corps (2019) | % of DOD enlisted corps (2019) |

| Retention of racially and ethnically diverse officers generally decreases with rank. |

| Racial diversity is relatively stable across ranks in the enlisted corps, but Hispanic/Latino/a/x retention decreases with rank. |

> I had to fight to stay in or get opportunities that often the good old boys got.

- Hispanic/Latino/a/x Veteran

*Unless otherwise noted, respondents indicated having at least one racial/ethnic identity other than white.
Active-duty service member respondents desire mentorship that includes, but is not limited to, professional development

DoD diversity plans reveal varying levels of “mentorship” as a strategy the service branches intend to use to improve diversity throughout the force. Mentorship opportunities currently exist, and the large majority (77%) of active-duty survey respondents* report they have had a formal or informal mentor that supported their career progression. However, survey results highlight areas where they can be improved and institutionalized to better meet the needs of this population. Most (64%) active-duty service member respondents* report desiring some form of mentorship, including but not limited to professional development.

Among those active-duty service member respondents* who desire a mentor, the majority (58%) state they would like a mentor in the area of “employment, career exploration, professional development, or leadership development,” followed by “family, life/social skills” (48%). Furthermore, 60% would find having one with the same professional interest valuable, 49% seek a person of the same racial/ethnic identity, and 38% would like a mentor of the same gender. Substantial differences exist among active-duty family respondents based on racial/ethnic identity, providing additional insight into mentorship program implementation priorities.
Active-duty service member respondents of color report having a strong sense of belonging to the military and other positive career experiences.

8 in 10 Active-duty service member respondents* say being in the military has had a positive influence on their professional growth.

7 in 10 I am treated with respect by colleagues.
7 in 10 I feel a sense of belonging.
7 in 10 I am a valued member.
6 in 10 I am able to perform to my full potential.

Despite positive experiences of service, Veterans and active-duty service members of color also experience high levels of discrimination.


Half of Veteran respondents* and 41% of active-duty service member respondents* experienced racially/ethnically-based discrimination or harassment by peers during their military service.
Off-color jokes, racial slurs, and discriminatory comments that are (erroneously) used to build a sense of camaraderie negatively affect active-duty service members of color.

Experienced in military/Veteran community 5+ times since January 2020

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>I have been threatened or harassed</th>
<th>I have been subject to slurs or jokes*</th>
<th>People have criticized my accent or the way I speak*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Active-duty service member respondents*</td>
<td>21%</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>26%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Veteran respondents</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>15%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Active-duty spouse respondents</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>12%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

At first [being called derogatory nicknames] was funny you know, but then when it just drags on and on and on and the jokes keep coming and it just gets really, really old and after a while you start to really question.

- Hispanic/Latino/a/x Veteran

Active-duty service members of color experience racial/ethnic discrimination at work, sometimes perceiving it to affect their career.

Source: 2020 MFLS

26% of active-duty service member respondents* reported experiencing racial/ethnic discrimination in their unit or command compared to 4% of their white, non-Hispanic colleagues

21% of active-duty service member respondents* reported experiencing racial/ethnic discrimination in promotion or career advancement opportunities compared to 6% of their white, non-Hispanic colleagues

It’s uncomfortable to be in a leadership position and be a person of color in white spaces. The microaggressions and ignorance can be too much and if I can avoid attending functions then I will.

- Hispanic/Latino/a/x Veteran

*Unless otherwise noted, respondents indicated having at least one racial/ethnic identity other than white.
Active-duty service member and Veteran respondents* report negative outcomes from reporting and/or speaking out

Retaliation is common for respondents* who do speak up

30% of active-duty service member respondents*
43% Veteran respondents*

Report they were retaliated against for standing up for something.56

Top three reported consequences of “standing up for something”*:57

1. Reassigned or relocated
2. Unfair treatment or discrimination
3. Verbal harassment or punitive taskings

ALLIES in the workplace

While perceptions of allyship in the workplace are high among active-duty service member respondents* ...

% of active-duty member respondents* who report having allies in the workplace58

20% Disagree
59% Agree
21% Neither

I have allies in the workplace

...the majority would like to see more of their white, non-Hispanic colleagues, friends, and acquaintances59

1. Call out inappropriate comments or behavior 57%
2. Get involved with diversity and inclusion efforts 53%
3. Advocate to fix issues caused by structural/systemic racism 49%

SPEAK UP

*Unless otherwise noted, respondents indicated having at least one racial/ethnic identity other than white.
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